




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

YH
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,816	11/22/2002	Canan Uslu Hardwicke	120365	9642
6147	7590	06/29/2004	EXAMINER	
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 SCHENECTADY, NY 12309			VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No. 10/065,816	Applicant(s) HARDWICKE ET AL.
	Examiner Gail Verbitsky	Art Unit 2859
<i>--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>		
THE REPLY FILED 06/17/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
<u>PERIOD FOR REPLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) <input type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than <u>SIX MONTHS</u> from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. <input type="checkbox"/> A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) <input type="checkbox"/> they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) <input type="checkbox"/> they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		
3. <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.		
4. <input type="checkbox"/> Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. <input type="checkbox"/> The a) <input type="checkbox"/> affidavit, b) <input type="checkbox"/> exhibit, or c) <input type="checkbox"/> request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.		
6. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> will not be entered or b) <input type="checkbox"/> will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: _____.		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>12</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 13-29</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.		
8. <input type="checkbox"/> The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved or b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. <input type="checkbox"/> Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.		
10. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.		
		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 1, 4, 17: the limitation stating: "said property being electrical resistance of said film of said electrically conductive material when said condition is strain, and said property being electromotive force developed in said film of said electrically conductive material when said condition includes temperature" requires further consideration and search.

The Applicant states that JP teaches a different concept of strain. This argument is not persuasive because the Examiner only uses JP, as a secondary reference, for its teaching that the thermal strain of 0.006 can be provided between two materials if desired.

The Applicant states that the Applicant has not been provided with an English translation/ abstract of JP. This argument is not persuasive, because it is a normal practice to provide Applicants with an English translation/ abstract of all the documents cited by the Examiner. In this case, the Applicant should have timely notified the Examiner of a missing copy, and the Examiner would have promptly provided the Applicant with another copy of the abstract.